

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA


FIKRI BAYRAMOGLU,)	CASE NO. ED CV 11-678-PSG (PJW)
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	ORDER ACCEPTING FINAL REPORT AND
v.)	RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES
)	MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND DENYING
J. TIM OCHOA, WARDEN,)	CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY
)	
Respondent.)	
_____)	

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, records on file, and the Final Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the Court has engaged in a *de novo* review of those portions of the Report to which Petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.

Further, for the reasons stated in the Final Report and Recommendation, the Court finds that Petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right and, therefore, a certificate of appealability is denied. See Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts,

1 Rule 11(a); 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); Fed. R. App. P. 22(b); *Miller-El*
2 *v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336 (2003).

3
4 DATED: March 28, 2012.

5
6 

7 _____
8 PHILIP S. GUTIERREZ
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 C:\Temp\notesE1EF34\Order accep r&r.wpd